

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 3908 Masafumi Mochizuki 01/30/2004 10/766,862 EXAMINER 08/09/2004 24956 7590 TUPPER, ROBERT S MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 370** 2652 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/766,862	MOCHIZUKI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert S Tupper	2652
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) 🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>21-41</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
•	Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are rejected.		
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>24-41</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/366,360.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/30/04</u> .	3/08) 5) Notice of it	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040806



Application/Control Number: 10/766,862

Art Unit: 2652

4 ...

1. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 18, "pf" should be "of". Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In both claims, on lines 18-19, "on a side of the main pole having no auxiliary pole" has no antecedent basis.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 21-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13, 5, and 8 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,710,972. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because :



Application/Control Number: 10/766,862 Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

Concerning claim 21, the pending claim differs from the patent claim by (a) deleting specifics of the location of the coils, and (b) adding recitations concerning the medium and the path of the flux. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to (a) delete limitations, and (b) to include a recitations concerning the medium that is required for perpendicular recording, and the resulting flux path which is inherent in perpendicular recording.

Concerning claims 22 and 23, the pending claim differs from the patent claim by (a) deleting specifics of the auxiliary pole, (b) adding recitations concerning the medium and the path of the flux, and (c) adding recitations concerning a read head. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to (a) delete limitations, (b) to include a recitations concerning the medium that is required for perpendicular recording, and the resulting flux path which is inherent in perpendicular recording, and (c) add recitations concerning a read head which is a well known and commonly used combination.

- 5. Claims 24-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/766,862

Art Unit: 2652

4...

SEAGLE et al, TAGUCHI et al, FUNAYAMA et al, YANO et al, and KHIZROEV et al all show the combination of a perpendicular write head and an MR read head.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652